

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,030	08/04/2003	Takemi Muroga	Komatsu Case 291	5287	
23474	7590 07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.			COOKE, COLLEEN P		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	1754	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/634,030	MUROGA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Colleen P. Cooke	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	٠.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	arniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/03.	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, <u> </u>				

A

Art Unit: 1754

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 8/6/02. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b, e, or a) as being anticipated by Honjo et al. (US 2002-0076567).

Regarding claims 1-4, 7, 13, and 15, Honjo et al. teaches an oxide superconductor having a Hastelloy substrate, and IBAD-YSZ layer on the substrate, followed by a CeO₂ layer and lastly a Y123 layer (see Figure 1). Honjo et al. further teaches that the YSZ layer is 1µm thick and the CeO₂ layer is 0.5 µm thick (Example 1, paragraph 0060; also in Example 2 paragraph 0081).

Regarding claims 5, 6, and 8, referring particularly to the orientation of the IBAD-YSZ and CeO₂ layers, Honjo et al. teaches that the layers have certain alignment (paragraphs 0044, 0045, 0047, 0058, 0059, and 0069) which would appear to meet the claimed limitations. Furthermore, since Honjo et al. teaches the exact same materials formed by the same processes, the intermediate and cap layers of Honjo et al. would inherently possess the claimed orientation properties.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,030

Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

With respect to each of claims 9, 10, 11, 12, and 14, it appears that the instantly claimed product by process is the same as that which is claimed (a layered superconductor consisting of a Hastelloy substrate, IBAD-YSZ layer, CeO₂ layer and Y123 layer). When the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct and not the examiner to show the same process as making. In re Brown. 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colleen P Cooke whose telephone number is 571-272-1170. She can normally be reached Mon.-Thurs. 8am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached at 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> P. Cooke 6/24/05 Colleen P Cooke **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1754